Assessment form: Simulation

Student:	•••
Title thesis:	•••
Data Defence:	•••
Promotor:	•••
Jurymembers:	•••
Supervising Assistant:	•••

END RESULT:

... / 20

Pass [10 .. 13[

Is the phenomenon that will be studied described precisely?	Yes / No / No reply
Is the simulation model described clearly?	Yes / No / No reply.
Are prognoses being made? (Are predictions of what will happen in	Yes / No / No reply
certain situations being made on the basis of the simulation?	
Are conclusions being drawn? (Why are the predictions useful?)	Yes / No / No reply

If the thesis committee answers "no" on two or more criteria, the thesis will be given a FAIL grade. The fine-grained criteria will then determine the exact grade.

Distinction [13 .. 15[

Is the question "why a simulation" answered convincingly? (Why is the	Yes / No / No reply
problem relevant, why it can be abstracted and that it has important	
characteristics?)	
Is there an overview of the factors that influenced the problem? (Is there	Yes / No / No reply
also an explanation of why certain factors were NOT included in the	
model?)	
Is there a convincing motivation for the choice of the simulation? (Is the	Yes / No / No reply
the choice of included phenomena as a function of the performance	
measures explained?)	
Is the simulation repeatable? (Are enough details given so that outsiders	Yes / No / No reply
can reproduce the simulation model/experiment?)	
Are the conclusions convincing? (Are the predictions correct/relevant?	Yes / No / No reply
What insight was acquired?)	
If the theory committee ensures "ne" on two on more enterior the theory will be given a CATISEA	CTODY 1 TIC

If the thesis committee answers "no" on two or more criteria, the thesis will be given a SATISFACTORY grade. The finegrained criteria will then determine the exact grade.

Great distinction [15 .. 17[

Is the problem well situated within its context? (Is there a precise explanation of the greater problem the thesis needs to be situated in? Is	Yes / No / No reply
there a convincing motivation for the choice of the smaller problem that the thesis intends to solve?)	
Is a broad overview of the popular solution techniques given? (Is the overview of the different solution techniques almost complete?)	Yes / No / No reply
Is there a repeatable weighting of the pros and cons of the popular techniques? (Can the same kind of weighting of the pros and cons be	Yes / No / No reply
used for a similar problem, without the solution having to be the same?) Is the experiment representative? (Is it clear to which degree the experiment's results are applicable for similar problems?)	Yes / No / No reply

Do the conclusions show a deep insight into the greater problem? (Are	Yes / No / No reply
the conclusions drawn about the smaller problem that the thesis has	
solved linked back to the greater problem? Is there a realistic prognosis	
toward the future?	

If the reading committee answers "no" on two or more criteria, the thesis will be awarded with DISTINCTION. The fine-grained criteria will then determine the exact grade.

Greatest distinction [17 .. 20]

Does the thesis introduce a novel way of looking at the problem? (Are	Yes / No / No reply
there elements in the text that shed inspiring new light on the problem?)	
Do the conclusions provide a significant contribution to the problem	Yes / No / No reply
domain? (Will the thesis be cited within the problem domain?)	

If the reading committee answers "no" to at least one criterion, the thesis will be awarded with GREAT DISTINCTION. If not, it will be awarded with GREATEST DISTINCTION. In both cases the fine---grained criteria will determine the exact grade.

Fine-grained Criteria

Relationship with "Research Project 1" and "Research Project 2":

Not applicable

Sections were not considered in the evaluation of the master's thesis

Sections have been assessed more thoroughly.

The "Related work" section was assessed as being complete and systematic

Other:

Clarity (text):	Insufficient / Unclear / Average / Good / Excellent / No reply
Presentation	Insufficient / Unclear / Average / Good / Excellent / No reply
(defense):	
Independence:	Insufficient / Unclear / Average / Good / Excellent / No reply
Workload:	Insufficient / Unclear / Average / Good / Excellent / No reply